

Racism & Health Equity: Subsidizing Minority and Undocumented Populations



The American Coalition for Sustainable Communities (ACSC) mission is sustaining elected representative government; not governance by unelected boards and bodies and commissions.

Contents

Introduction	1
Racism as a Public Health Crisis	2
Resolutions.....	6
Background Conditions	6
Chaos: Never Let a Crisis Go to Waste.....	7
Climate Change: The United Nations.....	8
The World Economic Forum: The Great Reset	9
Critical Race Theory	10
Measuring America: Technocracy.....	13
Environmental Justice	14
Health Equity.....	16
Critical Race Theory in the County.....	17
Nonprofits Promoting Critical Race Theory	21
Higher Education Promoting Critical Race Theory.....	22
University of California at Riverside.....	23
Critical Race Theory in Local Government.....	26
Equity & Unity Meetings	27
All-America City Awards.....	28
Socially- Engineered General Plans.....	30
The Green New Deal	31
Conclusion.....	36

Action Items 37

Appendix 39

 Critique of San Bernardino County’s Resolution 40

 Critique of Riverside County’s Resolution 44

 Critique of the Southern California Association of Governments Resolution 54

CDC Declares Racism a Serious Public Health Threat 60

References 62

Introduction

The American Coalition for Sustainable Communities (ASCS) has issued this Report: “Racism & Health Equity: Subsidizing Minority and Undocumented Populations” to counter the narrative developed by nonprofits and health agencies in the country.

Why should people care? Americans need to understand what is going on so they can understand debate issues. Though convoluted, the issues are not hard to understand.

According to nonprofit groups, the narrative of the George Floyd trial has highlighted deeper concerns of health disparities in people of color due to racism and exposure to the environment. The solution to this is “health equity.”

On April 8, 2021, Rochelle P. Walensky MD, MPH, director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) declared racism a serious public health threat. She stated that people have been infected by COVID-19 and that, “across the country people are suffering”; however, “these painful experiences and the impact of COVID-19 are felt, most severely, in communities of color... where the social impact of the pandemic has been most extreme.” These are bold claims.

The idea of “racism as a public health crisis” is a confluence of environmental justice and critical race theory; both seek to redistribute wealth through equity. The argument is that racism from the past is still here today; therefore, it is “systemic.” Systemic racism applies to people of color.

According to critical race theorists, since most people of color are poor: “poverty is structural, embedded, institutionalized, and systemic requirement to maintain capitalism's efficacy; it is an ongoing outcome of hegemony, patriarchy, and a capitalistic economic structure.”

Health agencies and health departments across America have been given extraordinary emergency powers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, they have the ability promote political agendas and influence public policy. By deeming racism a public health crisis, “racism and health equity” have been thrust front and center to argue increased subsidies for minorities and undocumented populations.

The report, provides a quick overview of the events that led up to CDC deeming racism a public health threat and provides a detailed review how it ties in with recent events.

- The report provides a brief historical perspective of how climate change solutions, under environmental justice, intercede with policy
- The report shows how these solutions are embedded in local cities/counties and how international climate change solutions influence work at the local level to build consensus for National policy changes.
- The report examines the genesis “racism as a public health crisis” mantra and the resolutions that inspire them. Identified are the conditions that fomented them. Critiques of resolutions are presented as case studies
- Critical race theory is addressed and how it is being used by government, local nonprofit corporations and higher education to accentuate environmental justice in order to codify health equity.
- The conclusion offers a review and an action item that Americans can implement to push back against unconstitutional policies.

Racism as a Public Health Crisis

Declaration of racism as a public health crisis increased exponentially when the issue of equity collided with the death of George Floyd on May 25, 2020. Black organizations demanded reforms to defund the police and because of systemic racism, reinvest in equitable solutions. During the protests and riots of 2020, elected officials figuratively took a knee, by adopting resolutions deeming racism a public health crisis. In doing so, they relegated many of their constituents to white supremacist status, as defined by critical race theorists.

Health agencies and health departments across America have been given extraordinary emergency powers during the COVID-19 pandemic. This visibility has increased social justice narratives and emboldened health department’s power in America.

Former attorney general of California, Xavier Becerra, now the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary under the Biden administration, has committed to collecting health data by race.

In conjunction with the CDC deeming racism a public health threat on April 8, 2021, this signals a move to address public health by providing “equity” in the form of increased health benefits for minorities and subsidized health benefits for illegal aliens:

“Today, Rochelle P. Walensky MD, MPH, director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and administrator of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), declared racism a serious public

health threat. Adding action to words, she highlighted several new efforts CDC is leading to accelerate its work to address racism as a fundamental driver of racial and ethnic health inequities in the United States. She also unveiled a new website “Racism and Health” that will serve as a hub for the agency’s efforts and a catalyst for greater education and dialogue around these critical issues.”

Public Health and Climate Change

In the Yahoo! Article by Zoya Teirstein, “How Biden Health Nominee Xavier Becerra Could Prescribe a Better Climate” cites the public health effects of climate change.

Teirstein states, “A report published in December 2020 in The Lancet the medical journal outlined the myriad ways in which rising temperatures and other consequences of climate change are worsening public health around the globe. The researchers found that heat, wildfires, infectious disease, livestock production, and other climate-related risks are straining healthcare systems already buckling under the weight of the COVID-19 pandemic. The report claims that climate change threatens to undo the past 50 years of gains in global public health.”

The Biden campaign unveiled a reimagined health department, proposing an “Office of Climate Change and Health Equity” and establishment of a “Health Care System Readiness Task Force” that would “assess the current state of the nation’s health care system resilience to natural disasters and recommend strategies and investments to improve it.”

Evergreen Action, a climate policy and advocacy group started by former presidential campaign staffers for Governor Jay Inslee of Washington, unveiled report detailing five ways the department could help mobilize a national climate change response, some of which build on parts of the Biden campaign’s platform. The group said:

“Biden’s choice of Attorney General Becerra signals that HHS is prepared to prioritize climate like never before”

“The climate crisis is a threat multiplier set to exacerbate every existing public health disparity... Congress must lead the charge to defeat the climate crisis and build a thriving, just and inclusive clean energy future.”

Jay Inslee’s best friends, from California extreme “green” billionaire Tom Steyer, to SEIU, are among the funders of the dark-money group Progress Alliance of Washington

State where millions of dollars have been pumped into electing liberal Democrats Progress Alliance is modeled after the national Democracy Alliance, the George Soros-founded cabal. The Democracy Alliance's 2020 Vision plan includes fighting climate change as a major theme.

Health Equity: Benefits for Illegal Aliens

According to the Politico article, dated February 22, 2021, "Exceedingly deep convictions': Inside Xavier Becerra's quest for health care for immigrants" a review of Xavier Becerra's 24-year House career and four years as California attorney general found that Becerra has repeatedly advocated for undocumented immigrants to have more access to health care and other government benefits, whether through Medicaid or Obamacare. As Joe Biden's health secretary, he will have the power to make public benefits for undocumented workers a reality. With a stroke of his pen, he could issue first-of-their-kind waivers allowing undocumented immigrants, roughly half of whom are currently uninsured, access to state health care exchanges.

The buildup to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services declaration that racism a public health threat was predicated on a year of turmoil to move the narrative forward.

In her article for the American Public Health Association (APHA) titled, "Communities recognizing racism as a public health crisis: Declarations grow", Kim Krisberg states, "Across the country, more jurisdictions are adopting resolutions declaring racism a public health crisis. There were relatively few such declarations on the books before the police killing of Floyd. However, the combination of his murder and racial disparities in COVID-19 deaths has helped expose the structural nature of racism and accelerated the pace of adoption."¹

This explains the rapid movement of resolutions deeming racism as a public health crisis because cities and counties were in states of emergency when they were introduced. The narrative was already in the system.

Krisberg says, "Many public health agencies have spent years working to advance health equity, so they are well-positioned to act on a declaration when adopted."

The politically motivated nonprofit, APHA, has made equity a priority by addressing racism and its effects on health a priority. A racism summit and a high-profile session on hate was presented at APHA's 2020 Annual Meeting and Expo, including adoption of an

interim policy statement on structural racism as a public health issue, calling on Congress to pass and fund anti-racism legislation.

Solutions are typically derived from environmental justice for people of color and fomented by community members, aka nonprofit stakeholder groups, who have a vested interest in promoting government-agency policy. For example, in the Inland Empire of Southern California, there are groups involved, including some who are tied to the Marxist revolutionary movement, Black Lives Matter.²

Following the adoption of a resolution in King County, Washington, County officials proposed a 2021-2022 policy agenda and budget they said was designed to “meet the needs of and implement positive changes for Black, brown and Indigenous people of color. The proposed budget includes new investments to divert young people away from the criminal justice system, divestments in incarceration by continuing pandemic-induced limits on jail populations, and funding to create antiracist solutions in partnership with community members”, according to Krisberg.

Jen Freiheit, director at the Kenosha County Division of Health, Wisconsin says, “It’s vitally important that public health moves away from old practices and learns how to integrate equity and the social determinants of health into everything we do and clearly, many of those center around racism.”

Proponents of antiracism endorse removing systemic racism from government institutions and replacing it with collectivist ideologies in order to change people’s behavior to accept authoritarianism. Their arguments, in regards to racism are relative, as evidenced in resolutions promoting racism as a public health crisis.

Resolutions, designating racism as a public health crisis, ironically promote racism. They are not exceptional and parrot the same Marxist rhetoric, indicating that these are a part of a larger agenda. In fact, there are ready-made blueprint templates for this offered by the nonprofit, Solid-America. They have a database of the resolutions already passed in the United States as well as an action pack activists can use to agitate for change:

“The Action Pack will help you gain feedback from local social justice groups and advocates of color. It will also help you start a conversation with city leaders for a resolution to declare racism a public health issue along with a commitment to take action to change policies and practices. It will also help build local support”³.

Resolutions

Resolutions act as a precursor for larger scope action plans in order to foment policy. It is important to understand the genesis of these and become familiar with the language used so that the claims made can be dispelled.

Provided here is a synopsis of three resolutions that were passed in 2020 in California regarding racism as a public health crisis and bullet points identifying the background conditions used to foment them.

To see detailed critiques of these resolutions, refer to the Appendix.

San Bernardino County, California added an Element Group to the Countywide Vision, with broad reaching power to control other elements of the Vision, “through a lens of equity.” Nonprofit groups were courted to elect one individual from their group for this new element group. Initially, the primary criteria for admission: skin color must be black⁴. When asked if the county could scientifically prove claims in their resolution, officials said:

“...the county does not possess records that would be responsive to your requests for scientific documentation, proof, or evidence.”

Riverside County, California elected to set up a task force as their commitment to cure racism in the county. The County responded to an information request; however, they did not prove the claims stated in their resolution. Therefore, a second request was submitted, which is the critique in the appendix.

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), the largest metropolitan planning organization in the United States, established an ad hoc committee to make recommendations for equity. Reporter, Brad Jones with the Epoch Times stated, “SCAG introduced a draft of a Racial Equity Early Action Plan to be voted on next month. It would reward contractors who conform to diverse hiring practices. Opponents have called the plan unnecessary and discriminatory.”⁵

Background Conditions

- Chaos – the COVID-19 crisis destabilized the entire world and in the ensuing chaos created the opportunity for transformative changes. City and counties declaring racism as a public health crisis increased in 2020 during the uncertainty and fear because of the COVID-19 crisis and protest riots.

- Climate Change - Climate change has nothing to do with reducing CO₂. People can pollute all they want as long as they are willing to pay it; then, they will have the privilege of improving their lifestyle.
- Technocracy - is promulgated by the biosecurity surveillance state. It is measuring all human activity for control and assessing fees and taxes for wealth redistribution.
- Critical Race Theory - Critical race theory is used to set the criteria to determine which group people are in and how they will be judged in relation to that group. This theory is currently a driver of public policy as it, along with equity and environmental justice, promotes the idea of racism as a public health crisis.
- Environmental Justice – Is a redistribution of wealth model used for climate change based on health disparities, which are differences in health outcomes and their causes among groups of people. Health disparities are related to social determinants of health, the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age.

Chaos: Never Let a Crisis Go to Waste

Governments, and their associated agencies, have exploited the tragic events of 2020 to their advantage and at the expense of the American people.

Most disasters occur during transitions: Planes taking off and landing; cars entering a freeway, etc. The same can be said for the current state of affairs in America.

Transformative change is being levied on the Nation through massive destabilizing events. Because of the COVID-19 pandemic, people have metaphorically struck a fetal position out of pure fear. Cognitive dissonance has set in and people are seeking answers from the very institutions that have exploited the crisis. The government is holding an onion right under people's noses and telling them it is an apple; people are saying, "okay". There is no absolute law, only relative guidelines.

Under the COVID-19 crisis, the United States has devolved from a Republic under the rule of law, into a banana republic where people are being ruled by guidelines and recommendations, issued under fiat executive orders, to agencies from Governors. *Governance* by unelected agencies, boards, bodies, commissions and Indian tribes now takes precedent over elected representative government. To make matters worse, enforcement of administrative guidelines and recommendations are being done by businesses people give their hard earned money to. Many bully customers for the privilege of shopping at their establishments. Sad thing is, rather than saying "no" and

taking their business elsewhere, people reward poor behavior by complying with private businesses discriminatory policies.

Climate Change: The United Nations

Over the past several years the definition of global warming has morphed into climate change. Central planners, inspired by the United Nations, have embraced the international body's action plans to reduce CO₂ through increased costs. In essence, paying costs means: if you are willing and able, you simply pay; conversely, if you are willing to pay or unable to pay, your lifestyle may be subsidized. It's simply a matter of what group you belong to. In many cases, if you can afford to pay, it is assumed affluent and white. If you can't, it is assumed you are poor and/or a person of color. Legislators and central planners lean toward collectivism for "the common good."

With climate change solutions, when CO₂ goes down, "health equity" goes up. That is the central theme for redistribution of wealth.

Collectivism is a belief of giving priority to a group rather than the individuals in the group. This concept is antithetical to the United States Constitution where individual rights are protected. The United Nations promotes group privileges over individual rights. Through its cadre of socialist and dictator membership nations, The United Nations seeks to transform the United States using collectivist theory and administrative, Soviet-style consensus panels, as an end run around the Constitution.

Sustainable Development is an environmental austerity plan measured by technocratic processes for inventorying, cataloguing and controlling all assets in the entire world, including human assets. It is planning for poverty; at its core, it is rationing.

Two major United Nations programs of actions for sustainable development have influenced presidential executive orders and agency rule making. Neither one are legal because neither one has been ratified by the Senate of the United States — the Senate is the only body that can ratify treaties with foreign governments and international bodies. Both programs of action are designed to curtail every individual's consumption of natural resources (i.e. clean water, coal, oil, natural gas, and food), the United Nations is a recipe for global despotism.

- 1992 - United Nations Agenda 21, which is the action plan to install sustainable development worldwide from the local level. It has been installed, covertly, in

every agency, department, at the Federal, state, county and city levels in the nation.⁶

- 2015 - The United Nations Paris Agreement is being installed overtly. It is international in scope and seeks commitments from each nation for specific CO₂ reduction goals. It includes the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals.⁷

Alex Newman in his article, “Killing U.S., Boosting China, to Save Climate”, states: Biden used an executive decree to purportedly shackle the United States to the United Nations agreement created in 2015 in Paris, which was first signed by President Obama. “I, Joseph R. Biden Jr., President of the United States of America, having seen and considered the Paris Agreement, done at Paris on December 12, 2015, do hereby accept the said Agreement and every article and clause thereof on behalf of the United States of America,” he wrote in an order signed on January 20, 2021 with no reference to what authority he believed authorized the move. The U.S. reentry date was February 19, 2021.

How can an outside force create a plan to take over the world by gaining assistance from its victims? It's happening in every nation of the world, every state and every community in the United States – Tom DeWeese¹⁸

The UN, in its summary of how the agreement works, says: “Implementation of the Paris Agreement requires economic and social transformation, based on the best available science,” which is a blatant falsehood.⁸

Under the Paris Agreement, which includes the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals⁹, it's claimed that Western nations, with their large white populations, have ruined the planet in their quest for improved lifestyles. In the process of seeking a better life, they have created pollution – for decades – which has affected people of color and disadvantaged communities disproportionately; therefore, reparations must be paid – equity – for the sins of their ancestors, under environmental justice. The United States, under the Paris Agreement, will have to be equalized with the rest of the world, which means standards of living for Americans will have to go down.

The World Economic Forum: The Great Reset

The globalist World Economic Forum's (WEF) Great Reset Initiative¹⁰, financed by Bill & Melinda Gates, is being installed overtly. It is a cheerleader for the “globalist plan for a new world order”, as Klaus Schwab, president of the WEF and coauthor of the book, COVID-19: The Great Reset opines. Schwab promotes fascism, where big business is in

bed with big government, with his idea of “stakeholder capitalism”¹¹, which is nothing but cronyism, corporatism and corporate welfare foisted through public-private partnerships, whereby profits are privatized and losses are socialized on the backs of middle-class Americans. He promotes, and President Biden agrees with the concept of “Build Back Better through Inclusion”, which means affirmative action for people of color, by transforming our economic system of capitalism to fascism.

Schwab wants to institute the changes needed to transform the world into a “more inclusive, resilient and sustainable world”, and he admits that the pandemic is the crisis that will help achieve through the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals.

Schwab says, “Seeing the failures and fault lines in the cruel light of day cast by the corona crisis may compel us to act faster by replacing failed ideas, institutions, processes and rules with new ones better suited to current and future needs. It’s about making the world less divisive, less polluting, less disruptive, more inclusive, more equitable, and fairer than we left it in the pre-pandemic era,” which is collectivist nonsense.¹²

Critical Race Theory

Marxist critical race theory has been in the forefront for the past several years. Proponents cherry-pick historical events and conflate it with current events in order to draft a narrative of victimization and oppression. Systemic, structural, and institutional racism has become the mantra of agencies and extremist nonprofit entities. Since systemic oppression is baked in to past history, the argument is presented that it is still here in government institutions; therefore, is “structural or institutionalized.”

For example, consider the California guidelines for the new Environmental Justice Element¹³, which are required for General Plan updates in all cities and counties in California, as is conflates past “history” with present day threats of wildfires.

“Long before the term “environmental justice” was coined, communities across California experienced discrimination through unjust land use policies and practices.

In the 1700s, California’s Native American Tribes were the first peoples in the state to experience systemic oppression as Spanish colonizers institutionalized the Mission system and intentionally disrupted tribal culture and environmental stewardship. In the 1800s, State sponsored policies further dismantled tribes’

relationship with the land. For example, the Act for the Government and Protection of Indians made it illegal to practice prescribed burning- the intentional ignition of small fires that helped many tribes maintain healthy landscapes. The following 100+ years of fire suppression policy not only placed tribal cultural resources at risk, but also led to forest densification and heightened risk for catastrophic wildfires which continues to threaten communities today.”⁵

The state is hypocritical because it is doing exactly what they claim the Spanish colonizers did to Indian Tribes – Indian Tribes is the correct definition currently used by the Federal government. The State of California and its agencies victimize all people because they have been negligent in maintaining land entrusted to them, resulting in more intense fires. They have been belligerent to private property owners by preventing spot burns. Furthermore, the state is oppressing all residents and citizens equally with land use policy that removes local control for their communities.

In the Heritage Foundation report by Christopher F, Rufo titled, “Critical Race Theory Would Not Solve Racial Inequality: It Would Deepen It”¹⁴ he explains that critical race theory promotes the revolutionary overthrow of capitalism, tradition, and constitutionalism structures. He points out, assertions made by academics claiming these structures victimize and oppresses people of color, are rooted in Marxist ideology. He presents a couple of key points:

1. Critical race theorists falsely accuse the United States of being a fundamentally racist nation and condemns capitalism, individual rights, and the Constitution.
2. Critical race theory seeks to undermine the foundations of American society and replace the constitutional system with a near-totalitarian “antiracist” bureaucracy.

Rufo frames critical race theory by presenting the dichotomy between Marxism and capitalism. He states:

For Americans who care about poverty alleviation and constitutional government, critical race theory represents a critical threat

In recent years, a large number of schools, universities, and local governments have adopted “antiracism” or “diversity and inclusion” policies based on critical race theory. Federal agencies have implemented human resources programs based on critical race theory, philanthropies have pledged billions toward “racial equity”

initiatives, and hundreds of corporations have signaled their support for the new ideology of “antiracism.”

Critical race theory, promotes the revolutionary overthrow of capitalism, tradition, and constitutionalism. Critical race theorists have argued that the nuclear family is a vestige of white supremacy, work requirements and entry-level employment are an extension of capitalist oppression, “Poverty,” in the words of race theorist Kay Ann Taylor, “is a structural, embedded, institutionalized, and systemic requirement to maintain capitalism's efficacy; it is an ongoing outcome of hegemony, patriarchy, and a capitalistic economic structure.”

Critical race theory reformulates the old Marxist dichotomy of oppressor and oppressed, replacing the class categories of bourgeoisie and proletariat with the identity categories of white and black and the political foundations of critical race theory maintain a clear Marxist economic orientation.

Rufo identifies key players in the critical race theory movement and cites their primary ideology:

Ibram X. Kendi, a leading figure in the critical race theory movement who directs Boston University’s Center for Antiracist Research and has received the National Book Award, has promoted the concept that individuals and societies cannot be neutral in America’s eternal racial conflict; they must be “antiracist.” That is, they must either adopt the political program of the critical race theorists or be considered “racist.”

***“I classify racism and capitalism as these conjoined twins.... [I]n order to truly be anti-racist, you also have to truly be anti-capitalist.... And in order to truly be anti-capitalist, you have to be antiracist, because they’re interrelated.*”**

By defining every disparity between racial groups as an expression of “systemic racism,” the critical race theorists lay the foundation for a political program of revolution.

To adopt the vocabulary of the race theorists, the forces of “hegemonic whiteness” have created society’s current inequalities, which we can overcome only by “dismantling,” “decolonizing,” and “deconstructing” that whiteness, radical changes are needed.

In one of the founding texts of critical race theory, UCLA professor, Cheryl Harris argues that property rights, enshrined in the Constitution, are in actuality a form of white racial domination. Harris formulates that rights are a mechanism of white supremacy, and must be curtailed. She envisions a suspension of existing property rights followed by a governmental campaign to “address directly the distribution of property and power” through wealth confiscation and race-based redistribution. “Property rights will then be respected, but they will not be absolute and will be considered against a societal requirement of affirmative action. She advocates a large-scale wealth and property redistribution based on the African decolonial model.

Together, the proposals from Harris, Kendi, and other race theorists would lead to a change of regime. In the name of racial justice, they would limit, curtail, or abolish the rights to property, equal protection, due process, federalism, speech, and the separation of powers. They would also replace the system of checks and balances with an “antiracist” bureaucracy with nearly unlimited state power.

Kendi advocates an “anti-racist amendment” to the Constitution. Although Kendi’s proposal is framed as an amendment to the American constitutional order, it is better described as an end to the constitutional order.

Rufo explains critical race theory in relation to family, work and education:

Critical race theorists have lambasted the nuclear family as a vestige of patriarchy and white supremacy: They consider the family a structure that oppresses rather than secures and uplifts.

In regards to employment, entry-level and low-wage work are not considered a path out of poverty: They are a form of capitalist exploitation. They reject the idea of “[promoting] personal responsibility through work,”

With respect to education, a recent paper in *The International Education Journal*, University of Washington Bothell, Professor, Wayne Au, makes the case that standardized tests such as the SAT are an oppressive practice that is rooted in “racism, nativism, and eugenics” and serve to maintain “racialized inequality.”

Measuring America: Technocracy

A biosecurity surveillance state is currently being established to measure all human activity, for control, assessment of fees and taxes for wealth redistribution.

America is in a technocratic revolution where big data monitors and assesses everything we do. Smart phones monitor our daily lives through China-style contact tracing; vehicle mileage taxes and congestion pricing are quickly becoming realities; utility usage is monitored by smart meters; states now use computer programs to further affirmative action by assessing if cities are too “white”; COVID-19 passports are now becoming a reality; the Internet of Things (IoT) and FCC mandated 5G communications¹⁵ will drastically alter how people live their lives in the new biosecurity surveillance state as James Corbett, of the Corbett Report, illustrates in his video series, “Who is Bill Gates.”¹⁶

In his book, “Technocracy Rising, The Trojan Horse of Global Transformation”¹⁷, Patrick Wood chronicles the past 100 years and pulls the reader forward in time to explain how the scientific experts religion of technocracy and big data metrics are used to evoke the environmental austerity of sustainable development, which is the technocratic process of inventorying, cataloguing and controlling all assets in the entire world, including human assets.

It is the process of resource restriction in order to create artificial scarcity of goods so that prices go up: it is sustainable development, which at its core is nothing more than rationing. This is a hidden tax that people will have to shoulder as it is used to subsidize disadvantaged classes and people of color to equalize society and make it fairer. To do that, justice must be invoked so that equity can be delivered.

Environmental Justice

The concept of environmental justice is simple: Experts claim that people of color are affected by the environment differently than white people and that they have unique health disparities; therefore, they require increased political representation in order to garner “equity” in the form of investments, which are fees and taxes.

Environmental justice is discriminatory. It is collectivist wealth redistribution scheme designed to identify environmental health hazards in relation to demographic information based on, color, income and national origin.

Environmental justice is political in nature. It seeks equality, fair treatment and political involvement with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. In order to acquire “equity”, the EPA promotes equitable development through policies, initiatives and collaborative problem solving, which are steeped in *sustainable development rhetoric*, as identified in their statements:

Equitable development is an approach for *meeting the needs of underserved communities* through policies and programs that *reduce disparities* while *fostering places that are healthy and vibrant*. It is increasingly considered an effective *placé-based action for creating strong and livable communities*.

Today, there are clear initiatives, tangible examples, and award-winning projects that demonstrate the approach as a means for rebuilding America's communities. The outcomes of *equitable development* are the result of clearly set expectations, *collaborative problem solving*, and persistent leadership.¹⁹

The United States Constitution protects all people regardless of their demographics. Agencies protect people unequally. According to SCAG, “as per the Federal definition of a minority: white people are not considered a minority even if there are less of them than people of color in a community. Therefore, the “inclusive language” presented by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Center for Disease Control (CDC) definitions of environmental justice and health equity, are an oxymoron, because it is impossible for “all people” to be represented equally, again as per the Federal definition of a minority.

The EPA defines environmental justice as:

The fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. This goal will be achieved when everyone enjoys:

- The same degree of protection from environmental and health hazards, and
- Equal access to the decision-making process to have a healthy environment in which to live, learn, and work. (live, work and “play” are attributes of sustainable development).²⁰

The CDC defines environmental justice as:

All people—regardless of race, color, national origin, or income—are entitled to equal protection from environmental and health hazards and equal access to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.

The CDC assesses environmental justice using a big data tool called the Environmental Public Health Tracking Network, which gathers data and information that is needed to make decisions about the environment and health in order to make personal, community, regulatory, and public health decisions. The tool provides identification of demographic factors, environmental burdens, socioeconomic conditions, and public health concerns. The tool produces data in customizable maps, charts, and tables, so that scientists are better able to assess the connections between the environment and its effect on health so that public health professionals can easily assess unusual trends and events to determine which communities may be at risk. This allows elected officials can make more informed policy decisions directly related to environmental justice.²¹

Health Equity

A key component of environmental justice is health equity. According to the CDC:

One of the CDC’s missions is reducing and eliminating health disparities and reaching health equity. To accomplish this, they target health disparities among those populations experiencing a disproportionate burden of disease, disability, and death.

The CDC defines health equity, where everyone has the opportunity to be as healthy as possible and health disparities, as differences in health outcomes and their causes among groups of people. Health disparities are related to social determinants of health, the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age.²²

Health equity has been promoted in resolutions deeming racism as a public health crisis in cities and counties across America. Nonprofits, citing social determinants and health disparities, claim that people of color have been disproportionately affected by the environment, which includes air quality, and viruses like COVID-19.

Resolutions deeming racism as a public health crisis, according to many elected officials, are non-binding benign statements. They are not. Statements are endorsements of critical race theory, which then foments action in the way of a task force or a committee to “study” potential solutions to “problems” so that equity can be paid.

The following section chronicles how current events influenced an environmental justice “vision” idea in San Bernardino County, California after the county adopted their resolution deeming racism a public health crisis.

Critical Race Theory in the County

Cities located in San Bernardino County, which signed on to the Countywide Vision, are being used as pawns by the County to buy into a new Equity Element for the Vision, which is an action related to the racism as a public health crisis resolution the County adopted. Therefore, by proxy, cities are now involved in an overt political movement.

Would San Bernardino County cities have originally signed onto a Vision statement if they knew it would be used to promote lifestyles that would lead to diminished economic standards of living for its residents; then, later on overtly, be turned into a political tool ?

That's what happened on Tuesday 6-23-2020, when the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors voted to approve a resolution to deem racism as a public health crisis. Supervisor Janice Rutherford worked with local Black groups in the County in advance of the vote to ensure the moniker: Racism as a Public Health Crisis. Board Chair, Curt Hagman, championed the resolution as "a first step". He promoted the resolution and encouraged all cities and counties in the State to do the same.

Press Enterprise reporter, Sandra Emmerson in an article dated 6-23-2020, titled, "San Bernardino County Declares Racism a Public Health Crisis," wrote, in regards to what Hagman said, "Thanks to the partnership and support of several community members and organizations, my colleagues and I became the first county in California to declare racism as a public health crisis, and I sincerely hope we are not the last. Through today's action, we built a foundation for positive change throughout the county and encourage our 24 cities to join us."

In doing so, Hagman laid the ground work for other States to do the same. Now we have liberation groups demanding direct reparations from governments.

Black liberation activists exploited race riots to pressure the County to draft a resolution deeming racism a public health crisis claiming oppression issues, related to health disparities, affecting blacks disproportionately in the County; this they claim to solve the problem of "systemic" racism.

Structural and institutional racism are supported, as central tenants to social justice, by the Southern California Association of Government (SCAG), the largest metropolitan planning organization in the United States, as a primary reason to social engineer the public to support "investments", that is, tax people, in order to redistribute wealth from one group to disadvantaged groups of color.

To address the issues of black liberation groups, County Board Supervisor, Janice Rutherford, suggested including language to add an “Equity” Element Group to the Countywide Vision. The irony is the Vision is already addressing disadvantaged communities through existing Elements: Public Safety, Wellness, Education, Housing, and Jobs/Economy.

Since the County is financially broke, officials want a way to increase revenue – at the expense of transparent government. “Recognizing the constraints declining revenue has placed on governments; we must build new, and expand existing partnerships among public agencies and nonprofit business [corporations]...” In essence, officials figured out a way to skirt government by the people.

The Countywide Vision is a nonbinding, utopian, idea that was floated by the local council of governments, back in 2011, in order to yoke cities in the County’s sphere of influence to support Social Equity and Environmental Justice, which are wealth distribution schemes. The idea is to emphasize working through unelected governance as opposed to, accountable, representative government by working with private nonprofit corporation groups and other “stakeholders.”

Cities in the County endorsed the Vision through a resolution. Hesperia rescinded their resolution in October, 2018 because they did not want to be under the purview of the County. They opted out the regional Vision in favor of local control.

According to officials at the County, Vision goals are accomplished through element groups that meet periodically to suggest “ideas”. It’s a way to endorse nonprofit corporations and stakeholders so they earn added street credibility. This garners publicity for grants and fundraising.

The Vision provides a mechanism for the County to push off responsibilities and offset costs by having nonprofit corporations pick up the slack. In this public-private partnership (P3) structure, taxpayers foot the bill. Because much of the funding is secured by environmental climate change grants, the Vision promotes austerity – reduced standards of living – through increased taxes – by promoting rationing schemes to change people’s behavior. For example, Water Element Group member, Celeste Cantu’, general manager of the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority, in 2012 stated, “We will have enough [water] only if all things come together through investment and behavior modification,” Investment means increased water prices.

The Countywide Vision is a lot of air. According to the County, “The element groups are made up of volunteers. There is no one who picks and chooses who can or can’t participate. The discussion leaders for each element group either naturally emerge from or are appointed by those who volunteer to participate. The element groups don’t report to anyone in the sense that they are held accountable for their performance.”

Representatives of the element groups supposedly meet once a month to discuss their progress and look for opportunities to partner with each other on projects. Staff from the County Administrative Office, moderate the meetings. County officials claim that, Element groups don’t recommend policy strategies.

Element groups are relied on by County staff to foment policy. They are made up of unelected, unaccountable members of groups from agencies, boards, bodies, nonprofits corporations and Indian tribe members. By definition, groups have agendas and they foment policy as evidenced when Daily Press reporter Martin Estacio in an article dated 6-10-2020 titled, “Equity group to address racial disparities in San Bernardino County” He wrote: “Other [Element] groups including wellness and public safety have created focused campaigns based on community discussion.” Policy suggestions continue.

In his article titled, “County Declares Racism A Public Health Crisis”, Estacio on 6-24-2020 wrote in regards to the new Equity Element, “County Deputy Executive Officer Diana Alexander said once the new equity group is created, it will conduct a series of public meetings and surveys to receive input from stakeholders to determine racial disparities and formulate a strategy to tackle them.”

In the same article, Estacio continues: “Pastor Samuel Casey, of New Life Christian Church and executive director of Congregations Organized for Prophetic Engagement (COPE), asked the Board that the newly formed equity group not be just “ceremonial.”

COPE is already working with the County as a “partner” with the Reentry Collaborative. COPE has received a grant from the Marguerite Casey Foundation. The foundation promotes Black Lives Matter (BLM).

Black Lives Matter is a project – a vision. It’s an idea couched as a movement. In an article posted on the Marguerite Casey Foundation’s website, titled “Alicia Garza: Black Lives Matter Proves that ‘New Leaders Are Possible’” – October 23, 2015, BLM co-founder, Garza, says, “We are not trying to build corporations out of social movements. We are trying to give oxygen to the flames that those social movements are sparking.”

According to a New American article by Alex Newman, titled, “What’s Really Behind the Riots”, dated 7-6-2020, “BLM was founded in part to glorify convicted cop-killer Assata Shakur (aka Joanne Chesimard). In an article explaining why she created Black Lives Matter movement, Alicia Garza, cited Shakur saying, “When I use Assata’s powerful demand in my organizing work, I always begin by sharing where it comes from, sharing about Assata’s significance to the Black Liberation Movement, speaking from the “lineage” from which it is derived.”

In a recent 7-2020 online interview, another BLM co-founder, Patrisse Cullors, stated:

“We have an ideology: We are trained Marxists.”

Recently in a 6-25-2020 broadcast, Fox commentator, Tucker Carlson, opined in regards to recent riots: “These are not about protests; it’s not about George Floyd or systemic racism. America is not a racist country. You are not a bad person for living here. Again, these are not protests. They aren’t even rioters. They are the armed militia of the Democratic Party. They are working the over throw our government and put themselves in power. They are idiots... they fall for any lie... If you want to get your elected representatives attention, quit voting, stop paying taxes and burn down buildings.”

In his article dated 6-29-2020 titled, “San Bernardino spent \$500,000 on police response to George Floyd protest”, San Bernardino Sun reporter, Brian Whitehead, chronicles rioting that took place on May 31 in the city of San Bernardino, California. Whitehead cites a report by police Chief Eric McBride prepared in reference to events that took place that day:

“After learning on May 30 that there likely would be several Black Lives Matter-related protests” in San Bernardino, McBride marshalled resources to deal with the threat. Several protesters began banging on the building’s glass doors; some people became hostile toward the officers and began throwing items. Others became destructive; more than 2,000 people gathered at the Waterman Discount Mall and became very destructive ... bombarding the officers with rocks, bottles, bricks, fireworks, and anything that could be hurled to injure an officer; looting was being reported elsewhere in town... Nearly 130 properties sustained damage or had to be boarded up to keep looters out.”

Police that night arrested 32 individuals, 22 of whom were not San Bernardino residents, which equates to 69%, McBride said. Between May 31 and June 1, there were 1,284 calls for service, “an exponential increase.”

Crime, rising prices, rationed goods and services, less freedom and an overall lower standard of living for County residents does not help blacks or other disadvantaged communities. Defining racism as systemic will only play into the Marxist playbook of “divide and conquer.” Further, paying reparations will only complicate matters.

Nonprofits Promoting Critical Race Theory

After resolutions passed deeming racism a public health crisis in the Inland Empire in California, which includes San Bernardino County and Riverside County, nonprofits received commitments for millions of dollars to promote and institute their critical race theory initiatives. In the Sun article, “\$5 million coming for Black-led organizations in the Inland Empire with new initiative”, Deepa Bharath, notes:

“The Inland Empire Funders Alliance on Tuesday, July 14, 2020, announced its partnership with the Black Equity Initiative of the Inland Empire to launch the Black Equity Fund, a regional pooled fund, which comes with the promise of long-term investments in local Black-led organizations. The seed money for this fund is coming from The California Endowment and the Inland Empire Community Foundation with the rest of the \$5 million to be raised over the next two years.”²³

Cash commitments fuel seminars, speeches and program agendas.

Capitalizing on the publicity and momentum, in the summer of 2020 the new startup, called Center Against Racism and Trauma began offering critical race theory consulting solutions targeting municipalities. According to the consultation page on their Web site: “The Center Against Racism and Trauma prioritizes helping policymakers make the most effective and informed decisions. CART is here to ensure the best racial equity practices are actually being practiced.”²⁴ Along with the readymade policy solutions offered, CART hosts seminars and lectures to foment activist action to move policies forward.

On March 17, 2021 a network of nonprofits, including COPE and Blu Foundation, hosted a CART Zoom forum featuring revolutionary critical race theorist Ibram X. Kendi, which was promoted as the “First IE Racism Summit”, according to an article in the Inland Daily Bulletin newspaper dated March 7, 2021.



The University of California at Riverside also sponsored the racism summit, which provides an opportunity to expose sustainable development and its extremism in education.

Higher Education Promoting Critical Race Theory

A 2015 report, by the National Association of Scholars titled, “Sustainability: Higher Education’s New Fundamentalism,” cites key points in an executive overview:

“Sustainability” is a key idea on college campuses in the United States and the rest of the Western world. To the unsuspecting, sustainability is just a new name for environmentalism. But the word really marks out a new and larger ideological territory in which curtailing economic, political, and intellectual liberty is the price that must be paid now to ensure the welfare of future generations.

This report is the first in-depth critical study of the sustainability movement in higher education. The movement, of course, extends well beyond the college campus. It affects party politics, government bureaucracy, the energy industry, Hollywood, schools, and consumers. But the college campus is where the movement gets its voice of authority, and where it molds the views and commands the attention of young people.

The focus in this study is on how the sustainability movement has distorted higher education. We examine the harm it has done to college curricula and the limits it has imposed on the freedom of students to inquire and to make their own decisions. Our report also offers an anatomy of the campus sustainability movement in the United States. We explain how it came to prominence and how it is organized.²⁵

University of California at Riverside

Reinforcing the extreme fundamentalism denoted in the report, consider the sustainability program offered at the University of California at Riverside. They offer a Bachelor of Science in Sustainability Studies, which was launched in 2015, according to an article published by U.C. Riverside²⁶, which is posted here with highlights denoting references to some of the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):

Offered through the Department of Gender and Sexuality Studies, the interdisciplinary B.S. degree will focus on challenges to sustainability such as climate change, air and water pollution, toxic contamination, energy demands, economic growth, agricultural production, and environmental degradation. It is believed to be the first program of its kind to be offered by a gender and sexuality studies department in the United States.

Goal 13 - Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts*

Researchers who do global environmental work have reported on the feminization of poverty, added Jade Sasser, assistant professor of gender and sexuality studies, noting that 70 percent of the world's poor are women.

Goal 5 - Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls.

“In a time of growing concern over natural resources, the new major in sustainability studies will give UCR undergraduates the opportunity to develop solutions to challenges to our region and to the world as they complete their undergraduate education,” said Peter Graham, associate dean of the College of Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences.

Emphasizing social and environmental justice issues recognizes that the poor and other vulnerable populations “are first and hardest hit by unsustainable practices of extraction, industrialization, finance, corporate agriculture, air and water pollution, environmental degradation, and the *forms of exploitation and denial of rights* that

accompany these,” explained Marguerite Waller, professor and chair of the Department of Gender and Sexuality Studies.

Goal 1 - End poverty in all its forms everywhere.

Goal 6 - Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.

Goal 8 - Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all.

Goal 11 - Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.

Goal 16 - Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels.

“There is a relationship between environmental, economic and social justice factors and who is most likely to suffer,” she said. Sasser was recruited last year to help launch the B.S. degree because of her research in environmental science, policy and management, Waller said.

The new degree, which was approved by the Riverside Division of the Academic Senate, is an outgrowth of the department’s current curriculum and reflects student interest in an interdisciplinary approach to sustainability issues. It also *aligns with UC President Janet Napolitano’s sustainability initiative that calls for all UC campuses to be carbon-neutral by 2025* and for curricula that encourage sustainable practices and infrastructure.

“The new undergraduate degree in sustainability will be pivotal in promoting sustainability literacy at UCR,” said John Cook, director of sustainability.

“The campus is embarking on a provocative and exciting quest to have all UCR undergraduates complete a sustainability literacy certification by the time they graduate.”

Having a degree program and *tenure-track faculty* focused on sustainability, especially with an *expertise on social and environmental justice*, will provide a wonderful resource in support of campus-wide sustainability efforts.”

Students enrolled in the new B.S. program will take more science courses than typical humanities, arts and social sciences majors, as well as a quantitative course and a capstone seminar in interdisciplinary methodology. *Courses in gender and sustainability, natural and earth sciences, engineering, health and medicine, cultural studies, policy, media, and social sciences* will prepare students to respond to challenges to local, regional and global sustainability.

Through internships, students will partner with community groups on sustainability research projects as well. A yearlong series of speakers and films will launch in October and will be open to the campus community and the public.

Public-private partnerships - Sustainable development decision making requires broad participation of all. The Division therefore aims to support the effective participation of Major Groups (as defined in Agenda 21) and other stakeholders in the UN political processes, including through efforts to build their capacity, knowledge and skills base.

It also supports, facilitates and monitors multi-stakeholder partnerships and voluntary commitments announced at various international sustainable development conferences through its Partnerships for SDGs online platform.

Juliann Allison, associate professor of gender and sexuality studies and public policy, said *gender disparities in environmental issues* became apparent in research she conducted on the impacts of acid rain along the U.S.-Mexico border more than a decade ago.

Goal 10 - Reduce inequality within and among countries.

“Women have a different experience of living on the border, of pollution and of raising their families,” she explained. Around the world, it is typically women who scavenge for wood and other energy sources, and who grow food to feed their families (women grow 70 percent of the world’s food), so environmental issues that affect crops, health and natural resources particularly impact women.

Many of the world’s environmental movements are organized by women, noted Chikako Takeshita, associate professor of gender and sexuality studies and the program’s architect.

Goal 13 - Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts.*

**(Acknowledging that the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change is the primary international, intergovernmental forum for negotiating the global response to climate change).*

Sustainability Studies Major²⁷

If you choose to major in sustainability studies, you will:

- investigate the historical and contemporary ways environments change (and are changed by) human activity – like man made climate change - *Note, this is to promote the theory of man-made climate change, which has not been determined.*³⁰
- explore comparative, interdisciplinary, transnational, feminist approaches to the theories and practices of building a sustainable future with a focus on everything from climate change and energy, to pollution and environmental justice.
- study coursework ranging from gender and sustainability, to health and medicine, to media and policy, and more.
- train in a framework of feminist paradigms and methodologies associated with intersectionality, dialogue, and relation from a local, regional, and worldwide perspective
- participate in in-depth, engaged learning experiences
- prepare for careers in health care, public service, policy advocacy, education, and social activism relevant to sustainability
- participate in internships with local environmental organizations, health advocates, and other institutions connected to sustainability

*Note, climate models produced by the United Nations IPCC have been fraudulent; therefore, policy based on them are nonsense.*³¹

Critical Race Theory in Local Government

There are thousands of nonprofit corporations and international sponsored nongovernmental organizations (NGO) in the in the United States, all vying for coveted grant money given out by governments through departments and agencies. Grants have terms and conditions that must be agreed to. Since sustainable development is the top

level globalist plan for environmental command, these entities sign on to agree to egregious schemes to erode traditional America principles and property rights.²⁹

Cities and counties compete for grants. The more public-private partnerships they can note on their grant applications, the more associations with nonprofits, the more awards they can cite, the better chance they have of “winning” the grant money.

This is exemplified in Rancho Cucamonga’s Health RC initiative. The Healthy RC program has been questioned over the years because it folds in youth groups and targets them for radicalization. Now that the city applied for, and received, an All-American City award, people are seeing more radicalization taking place.

Equity & Unity Meetings

Multi-trillion dollar COVID-19 stimulus bills have fueled environmental justice. Nonprofits and consultants are cashing in. Thousands of meetings are taking place in cities and towns across America; all promoting critical race theory, and indoctrinating youth and minority groups.

The city of Rancho Cucamonga, California sponsored a Healthy RC Zoom event that took place on November 12, 2020 featuring self-proclaimed “change agent”, Dr. Gail Christopher. Change agent is a term typically associated Soviet spies and provocateurs like, Saul Alinsky.

Christopher promotes critical race theory in relation to health equity to engage underserved, racial and ethnic minorities in health policy discussions.

Christopher was Senior Advisor and Vice President at the [progressive] W.K. Kellogg Foundation; she was the driving force behind the America Healing initiative and the Truth, Racial Healing and Transformation effort. Christopher is described as an *award-winning social change agent with expertise in the social determinants of health and well-being and in related public policies*. She has more than 30 years of experience in designing and managing national initiatives and nonprofit organizations.

The Kellogg Foundation gives money to United Nations and the Rockefeller Foundation.



The event titled, “Building a Path to Unity: A Virtual Symposium on Racial Healing, Equity, and Unity” was pitched as Healthy RC’s Compassionate Community’s first ever citywide symposium. The meeting echoes racism as a public health crisis resolution language, as evidenced by the description and reference to “recent events”, which appears to be related to riots and protests ignited by the George Floyd incident. Evidently, the city thought it necessary to “foster healing and unity in Rancho Cucamonga”, even no proof was offered that anyone was affected by “recent events”.

“The recent events these past several months have greatly disrupted our lives and challenged us in many ways, and have once again placed a spotlight on issues around racism, racial inequity, and social justice. Healthy RC’s Compassionate Community Subcommittee continues to work diligently to ensure that we can provide our community a safe space for all voices to be acknowledged and heard. Together, we hope to identify specific strategies and action plans that will help foster healing and unity in Rancho Cucamonga.”

All-America City Awards

In 2020, the city Rancho Cucamonga, California, applied for the National Civic League (NCL) award to garner publicity for the dozens of social and environmental justice programs it supports. These programs promote critical race theory for social change, which subvert the middle-class and reduce standards of living for people that live in the

city. Resiliency, or protecting poor people from oppressive environmental health impacts, is a cornerstone of these programs. Nonprofits aligned with the Marxist revolutionary group Black Lives Matter (BLM) have taken up the battle cry of racism: a public health crisis. In this context “health” means environmental health. The premise: poor people have, for decades, been disproportionately exposed to environmental hazards such as bad air, and now, the hazards of COVID-19.

According to the NCL, “The need for equity and resilience has become more obvious in 2020, as communities have dealt with a global pandemic and racial bias incidents in law enforcement.” Further, All-America City applicants for 2021 will be asked to provide examples of projects that have “adapted and transformed the community to be more equitable and resilient. Some include: promote racial healing and equity, enhance equity in the community’s COVID-19 response, reform law enforcement to improve racial equity, engage the community in work to prevent climate change, create affordable and safe housing, and reduce poverty.”

In a Daily Bulletin staff article, dated March 19, 2020 titled, – Rancho Cucamonga is finalist for All-America City Award, “The 2020 spotlight for the award is efforts focused on practices that enhance health and well-being for all, and particularly for populations experiencing poorer health outcomes.” The city’s Healthy RC program was cited.

Healthy RC Living is a program initiated by Rancho Cucamonga. The city receives grant funding, among others, from First 5 San Bernardino by adhering strictly to their guidelines on “Principles on Equity.” The “First 5” commission describes the program as a, “Collaborative networks of schools, community and faith-based organizations, public and private agencies and city governments that make up Healthy City/Healthy denoting that Community designations are powerful vehicles for social change within their own community.

Valerie Lemmie, Chairperso for NCL, is a Initiatives of Change, USA (IofC), board trustee and treasurer. IofC supports the Marxist revolutionary movement Black Lives Matter (BLM).

IofC seeks to transform America from capitalism to socialism because of, “the condition of involuntary servitude perpetuated by racist capitalist economies in order to develop the Americas... resulting in deeply embedded systems and structures of racist inequality.” Therefore, they seek to redefine racism as institutional, systemic or structural. Meaning, it is chronic and can never go away. In other words, white people are guilty for the “sins”

of the past, present and future because they had, have, and will have too much wealth under “racist capitalist economies.”

According to Fox News broadcast on August 27, 2020: “Between April and June, the U.S. economy shrank 31.7%, the biggest drop ever; 58 million Americans applied for assistance since the lockdowns began in March.” Populations are in flux.

People are fleeing the liberal cities by the droves. They are seeking safe harbor in the nation’s suburbs and rural areas; however, environmental climate change rules have already inched their ways into suburbs in California. Rancho Cucamonga has embraced dozens of these “Green New Deal” programs already, including the city’s Health RC program, which had a budget of over \$4 million dollars between 2010 and 2017.

Socially- Engineered General Plans

The city has signed a contract with the State in order to subsidize the city’s centrally-planned General Plan, constricting local control. Importing poverty into urbanized, high-density communities will eventually change the city’s ambiance from suburban to urban. This will reduce middle-class standards of living as the city imports poverty under “social justice”, all under the banner of “racial equity.” The city has steadily been moving towards urbanization.

According to a Staff Report dated, December 18, 2019:

The current General Plan establishes the vision for Rancho Cucamonga as a healthy, innovative community with high quality development, urban centers and corridors.

The new General Plan vision will be:

City’s vision for a resilient, equitable and healthy community address issues and challenges facing the City, including diversifying employment opportunities, expanding housing and mobility choice and preserving the character, history, and quality of life that make Rancho Cucamonga a special place to live.

It’s a bold statement to, “preserve the character, history, and quality of life that make Rancho Cucamonga a special place to live”, given all the social-oriented programs the city is involved with.

The city of Rancho Cucamonga is a dichotomy. On the surface it appears to lean conservative; however, the city planners, manager and city council support liberal environmentalism and social justice programs.

The Green New Deal

California is the perfect example of what America will be reduced to if a proposed Green New Deal goes into action because in many respects it has already happened in the State. The middle-class in the State has been hollowed out as they are taxed in the billions to fund the ever mounting public employee pension debt. Former Governor Brown said that the State only caters to the rich and poor, which mirrors the devastation wrought by catering to high-tech employees and the swelling ranks of low-income service workers who serve them. California is a bifurcated society of plutocrats and serfs. Low-income workers, many illegal aliens, are being subsidized by taxes collected through a green environmental program of “sustainability”, which is the proposed world-wide “solution” to the “theory” of climate change. These subsidies have not only redistributed wealth, but have the added benefit of creating a new class of voters for Democrats. Rancho Cucamonga, California is a model city of Sustainability policy. In fact, the city touts over 40 Sustainability programs, pushed by corporate nonprofits, youth volunteer and minority groups.

“The world is gonna end in 12 years if we don’t address climate change...”

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

On February 4th 2019, Congresswomen Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (AOC), an avowed authoritarian Socialist who promotes corruption and wealth confiscation, released her national Green New Deal scheme promoting environmental Sustainability. The “deal” is a massive transfer of wealth based on race. AOC claims that America is stolen land. The Green New Deal is a Trojan Horse. It is Socialism masquerading as environmentalism.

Ideologue Mayor Dennis Michael of Rancho Cucamonga, California and AOC are on the same page in regards to Sustainability. The Mayor, known as “Mr. Sustainability” by locals, claims to be a Republican; however, he is diametrically out of step with his party because Republicans are opposed to Sustainability, an authoritative solution for climate change, which seeks to control the use of everything people need to live by punishing them in order to change their behavior to use less; Sustainability is nothing but rationing. That seems to be okay with the Mayor, who supported spending \$9,000 to lobby for an increase in the gas tax to help cure climate change.

The progressive Mayor touts the city’s climate action plan. This plan seeks to redistribute money collected from increased Sustainability taxes, like the gas tax, and give it to disadvantaged communities. He puts his trust in unelected regional Soviet (the word Soviet means “council” in Russian) style boards, such as SCAG.

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) Regional Transportation Plan & Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS) foists a half trillion dollar in proposed new taxes on the residents of Southern California. SCAG representative, Michelle Hansen says, “There is such a thing called structural disinvestment and structural racism and the [RTP/SCS] is trying to contradict centuries of disinvestment. In other words, people must pay reparations to people that are low income/minorities because they were prejudiced in the past; this seems to fit with AOC’s narrative that American is stolen land. Also, the RTP/SCS supports the Sustainability element of Environmental Justice, which accomplishes redistribution of tax money by insuring that low income households and also minorities’ interests are “protected” — protected from pollution because disadvantaged communities are being exposed to pollution, especially Latino communities.

“There is such a thing called structural disinvestment and structural racism and the [RTP/SCS] is trying to contradict centuries of disinvestment.”– Michelle Hansen, SCAG Representative

Gary Gileno, of the Grindall61 YouTube News Channel, explains in a video “The Truth About the Green New Deal: It’s a Massive Transfer of Wealth Based on Race”, how the big data tool called the Cal Enviro Screen Computer Program assesses Southern California’s most disadvantaged communities; meaning cities with populations that are more that 80% Latino.

Disadvantaged communities, by definition are Environmental Justice communities, whereby communities of color are far more likely to suffer from pollution than non-communities of color. Cap and Trade and gas tax money are being funneled into these communities, promoting sustainability, in the name of fighting climate change. The absurdity of this is agency central planners want people to believe that pollution skips over White and Asian areas and only impacts Latino areas. This is redistribution of wealth based solely on race. Furthermore, there is irony in that Sustainability taxes equally impact the poor and disadvantaged communities. For example, the gas tax impacts the one million illegal aliens that now drive cars in California.

Like many cities throughout the country, the city of Rancho Cucamonga publishes a recreation guide/city newsletter a few times a year. However, in recent years, Rancho's version slants towards political agendas and environmental justice programs.

"Volunteers" is a full-color section debuting in 2018 and in another in 2019 called, "Healthy RC"—an Michelle Obama initiative—is prominently displayed. These programs promote youth groups and college students, who are being influenced by propaganda. This becomes the reference point for youth groups in their mission to seek political change in the city. It's a bottom up approach for Socialist change.

College campuses are where the movement gets its voice of authority, and where it molds the views and commands the attention of young people." This creates ready-made environmental activists to push the city's Sustainability agenda: Children, teens and minority groups act as political pawns to push the city's "vision" as a "collaborative" community input process, which in turn is used by State legislatures to create more environmental laws because they claim it is what the people want, even though no one voted. Our children are being subjected to government propaganda in order to change their behavior to support Sustainability.

Mother Nature, the Gaia Earth Goddess, is promoted by California Attorney General, Xavier Becerra. Becerra is now the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Secretary under the Biden administration.

On February 7, 2019, Attorney General for the State of California, Xavier Becerra, who has been endorsed by the Marxist California La Raza (meaning "the Race") Lawyers Association, stated at a university event titled, Fighting for a Sustainable Future and Healthy Communities, that he would appeal to the rights of "Mother Nature" the Greek Gaia, a primordial earth goddess in Greek myth, literally meaning "earth" — over Constitutional law. College kids are being brainwashed in Gaia worship.

"... it's not in my job description. It certainly is not written in the California Constitution, but I will tell you the last enemy I want is Mother Nature... and I plan to protect her. And for those that have not been honest, it's time to come to Jesus..."

Xavier Becerra

In the spring 2019 edition of the Grapevine RC Community Services Recreation Guide, the city touts the awards received for sustainability and energy efficiency efforts through the Sustainable Community Action Plan (S/CAP), a voluntary plan to reduce CO₂ emissions in the city. Emission reduction is arbitrary and meaningless. For example, the

State beat its initial emissions goals before 2020; however, the Democrat controlled legislature doubled-down and set even stricter goals, evidencing their authoritarian mindset of control.

Mayor Michaels believes that receiving awards from groups that push Sustainability “...authenticates the city’s holistic (all-inclusive, universal) approach to sustainability that encompasses a collaborative community input process.” These awards are claimed to validate the plan. In truth, they are just a rationalization for the plan, which should have never been installed in the first place.

The Mayor promotes the plan’s “Triple Bottom Line”: Environment, Economy and Community Equity & Health. “This is an evaluation tool and process developed to enhance the plan’s sustainability connections and potential outcomes.” This basically means the feeble attempt to measure CO₂ emissions, as a goal, is a guess at best; therefore, the Triple Bottom Line is basically meaningless.

- Environment – Claims a goal of reducing CO₂ to some arbitrary level, which is impossible to measure because CO₂ is fungible and blows around in the atmosphere. This also promotes reducing resource consumption of water, energy and fuel.
- Economy – “Increases energy, water and fuel cost savings, expanding workforce training/ recruitment in order to attract environmentally friendly businesses, all the while attempting to reduce maintenance and operating costs.” This basically promotes rationing of energy, water and fuel. It also, promotes cronyism because it illegally favors “environmentally friendly business” — businesses designated as Benefit Corporations or B-Corps that push the agenda of Sustainability, over other businesses. This is blatant discrimination.
- Community Equity & Health – Touts improving community “health”. This is Environmental Justice. This also contains a goal to propagandize the public for “support of Sustainability goals” by “engaging and empowering community”: (volunteers and children) and local organizations (nonprofits pushing Sustainability).

Key areas in the S/CAP plan include:

Energy efficiency: The plan supports SB100, which removes all production of energy by fossil fuels, including gasoline for vehicles.

Water efficiency: The plan supports SB606 and AB1660. Local water agencies have been given enforcement authority of water usage: Standards will be based on arbitrary formulas. The law states an allowance of 55 gallons per person per day for indoor water use — dropping to 50 gallons by 2030...” In theory people will not be able to shower and wash clothes in the same day.

Zoning and Land use/Openspace restrictions: This means shifting RC away from suburban equestrian community into “diverse” high-density urban communities, increasing the cost of police/fire services and increasing school bond debt.

Transportation/Mobility: Continue to invest in obsolete mass-transit and expensive Bike/Active Transportation Plans (ATP – Walking) infrastructure plans, even though a 2018 study by USC/UCLA found that disadvantaged people are not riding mass-transit; therefore the systems are going broke. Furthermore, Governor Newsom cancelled the bullet train project, obsoleting billions in completed Sustainability infrastructure.

Expensive Green building standards: Cost to comply with these standards increases the cost of new construction. New State law says all new construction must have solar systems, increasing costs by thousands of dollars. There is talk that residents will not be able to sell their property unless they comply with new retrofits. If they can’t afford them, they can’t sell their property.

Expensive waste management programs: You are taxed when you consume via sales tax and now there are proposals to tax people for the waste water that comes off your property.

As mentioned, the Mayor believes that receiving awards for the S/CAP “authenticates” the S/CAP plan. The awards received are from regional players and nonprofits that benefit directly from Sustainability grants. Therefore, these awards are basically mute because the city received them from nonobjective players “in the climate game”. They are biased and push the agenda of Sustainability. Awards presented are usually based on CO₂ measurement models that rely on United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) data, which have been discredited because they have been found to be flawed due to fraud.

The city advocates and applies for multiple awards:

- Cool Planet Award from the Climate Registry. This is a voluntary program initiated by Southern California Edison (SCE) so cities can report their green-

house gas emissions. The State has basically putting utilities out of the electric generation business as they force the shutdown of gas-powered generation as it moves to 100% renewables. Again, reporting uses flawed data models, so this award is mute.

- Green Region Award from the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG): SCAG has proposed a half trillion in new taxes to support Sustainability. The gas tax, Measure A sales tax, and ever increasing school bonds. Note that RC's S/CAP was initiated with a \$150,000 from SCAG; therefore, this award has zero objectivity.
- Innovation in Green Community Award, from the American Planning Association (APA). The APA works closely with the United Nations at the local level to implement UN policy on reduced GHG emissions through visioning processes. They are a primary driver in installing Sustainability at the local level. Their data and programs are biased; therefore, they can't be cited as accurate.
- Platinum Level Beacon Spotlight Award from the Institute for Local Government. The Institute's story began in 1955, with the support of a Ford Foundation grant to promote inter-jurisdictional cooperation among local agencies (regionalism) – Grants by progressive foundations taint and corruptly influence policy. Their current goal is to assist local leaders to govern openly, effectively and ethically, work collaboratively and foster healthy and sustainable communities. They support regional government; this award is meaningless because the (ILG) promotes a political agenda. When asked how they measured CO₂ reduction, they did not respond.

Conclusion

Health departments and agencies are doing an end run around the Constitution and individual liberties. Anything can be classified as health under “safety and security” emergencies. The State under COVID-19 guidelines and recommendations are seeking to control human physical, mental and spiritual health. It can be argued that the State is attempting to control life.

Sustainable development seeks to centrally control all life on the planet. This is evidenced in county and cities throughout America. Programs of action promoting sustainable development demean human exceptionalism and more recently, deem humans as nonessential.

All of this is being instituted through the United Nations and other international bodies like the World Economic Forum; all pushing the fascist Paris Agreement through a shadow governance of unelected bureaucrats who see “poverty” as the great equalizer for the world:

According to the United Nations Poverty is a major effect of global environmental problems because nations like the United States consume too much and have too much wealth, much in the form of private property.

The goal is to overthrow capitalism. According to critical race theorists “poverty is structural, embedded, institutionalized, and systemic requirement to maintain capitalism's efficacy; it is an ongoing outcome of hegemony, patriarchy, and a capitalistic economic structure.”

Marxist critical race theory is being used to embolden, radicalize, and finance minorities. Resolutions by municipalities, deeming racism as a public health crisis, have created a catalyst for system change.

As of the date of this report, HSS has issued a resolution and created a website for action that could lead to undocumented people, here in the United States illegally, receiving health care and other services.

International edicts, through recommendations and guidelines are redefining traditional American culture. Borders are invisible under the Biden administration. The argument can be made that Americans are being replaced. Adding insult to injury, Americans are paying for this transformation.

As woke culture continues, more and more Americans are beginning to figure out that there is a radical plan to transform America into something they don't recognize. Many find it hard to believe that their government has turned on them.

Americans need to restore fair elections and support candidates and government representatives by holding them accountable. That starts by understanding the issue; then, taking positive action to restore the Republic.

Action Items

It is hoped that this report has helped in that endeavor by pointing out some issues that people can act on now. Here are some action items:

- People can review “racism as a public health crisis resolutions” in their cities and counties; then, send the reviews, in the form of information requests demanding answers. If municipalities can’t answer the questions or prove stated claims, resolutions must be considered as flawed. Therefore, they should be rescinded and action items supporting them should be cancelled.
- All Sustainability/Sustainable Development and Cap & Trade programs promote environmental-green tyranny and need to be scoured from local cities. They are patently unconstitutional because these programs are installed through a corrupt faux consensus outreach process, which usurps our Constitutional Republican form of government. The people don’t get to vote on these programs. They are installed through biased surveys and reinforced by “stakeholders” — corporate nonprofits, youth groups, volunteers, and minority groups — that act as a faux democracy to encumber private property rights, redistributing wealth to disadvantaged communities.
- People can visit their city’s Web site and search “community development”. This is where minority youth group programs that support environmental justice can typically be found.
- Of course, most issues are symptoms of a much larger cause. The solution in regards to the United Nations and other international organizations, like the World Health Organization, is for the United States to get out. Therefore, support Federal legislation to remove the United States from these globalist institutions.

Appendix

Appendix

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-103

RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THAT RACISM IS A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS THAT RESULTS IN DISPARITIES IN FAMILY STABILITY, HEALTH AND MENTAL WELLNESS, EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, PUBLIC SAFETY, CRIMINAL JUSTICE, AND HOUSING

CLAIM - WHEREAS, racism results in the structuring of opportunity and assigning of value based solely on skin color and other physical characteristics, which creates unfair disadvantages to some individuals and communities and unfair advantages to other individuals and communities, therefore preventing societies as a whole from achieving their full potential;

- Please present scientific documentation that proves that "racism results in the structuring of opportunity and assigning of value based solely on skin color and other physical characteristics, which creates unfair disadvantages to some individuals and communities and unfair advantages to other individuals and communities, therefore preventing societies as a whole from achieving their full potential, " and that it is not a theory.

CLAIM - WHEREAS, the U.S. Census Bureau has documented a significant increase in anxiety and depression among Black people nationally following the May 25, 2020 killing of George Floyd;

- Please provide report and further scientific proof that denotes "significant increase in anxiety and depression among Black people" specifically in San Bernardino County, California, and how proof that the George Floyd incident played a role.

CLAIM - WHEREAS, racism creates disparate outcomes in many areas of life that serve as the elemental foundation of the Countywide Vision, including housing, education, employment and the economy, public safety and criminal justice, and physical and behavioral health;

- Please explain how "racism creates disparate outcomes" in relation the "elemental foundation of the Countywide Vision.

CLAIM - WHEREAS, specific physical and behavioral health conditions stemming from racism include depression, anxiety, anger, hypertension, stroke, heart attack, diabetes, maternal death, premature birth, respiratory diseases, and autoimmune diseases

- Please explain how, via scientific evidence, racism effects "conditions" in the aforementioned sentence.

CLAIM - WHEREAS, racism has given rise to geographic segregation that disproportionately exposes people of color to lead poisoning, poor air quality, inadequate nutrition, and under-resourced recreational and healthcare facilities;

- Please provide scientific evidence that supports geographic segregation that disproportionately exposes people of color to lead poisoning, poor air quality, inadequate nutrition, and under-resourced recreational and healthcare facilities in relation to San Bernardino County.

WHEREAS, the infant mortality rate within San Bernardino County's Black population is more than double the rate for the County as a whole; and

- Please explain what the aforementioned statement has to do with "racism".

WHEREAS, Black people account for less than 9 percent of the County's population but almost 19 percent of County jail bookings and 38 percent of the bookings into County juvenile detention facilities; and

- Please explain what the aforementioned statement has to do with "racism".

WHEREAS, more than 21 percent of the County's homeless population is Black; and WHEREAS, the Black homeownership rate in San Bernardino County is less than 43 percent but stands at 60 percent for the County as a whole; and

- Please explain what the aforementioned statement has to do with "racism".

WHEREAS, in San Bernardino County, only 17 percent of Black students compared to more than 31 percent of all students are proficient in math and less than 35 percent of Black students compared to almost 45 percent of all students are proficient in English/Language Arts; and

- Please explain what the aforementioned statement has to do with "racism".

WHEREAS, in San Bernardino County, the college and career readiness rate is 44 percent for all students but is only 30 percent for Black students; Meanwhile, suspension and expulsion rates for Black students are more than twice the respective rates for all students; and

- Please explain what the aforementioned statement has to do with "racism".

WHEREAS, helping people process the trauma of past injustice and create new narratives based upon equity, fairness, and equal justice can have healing effects, both mentally and physically,

- Please provide scientific "evidence trauma from injustice" in black populations in San Bernardino County, California and how creating new narratives based upon equity, fairness, and equal justice can have healing effects, both mentally and physically,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Bernardino affirms that racism is a public health crisis that results in disparities in family stability, health and mental wellness, education, employment, economic development, public safety, criminal justice, and housing.

- What is meant racism as a public health crisis?
- Prove that racism is a public health crisis?

Furthermore, based upon this affirmation, the County will actively participate in the dismantling of racism by:

- How does an "affirmation" actively

Working with community partners to establish "Equity" as an eleventh element within the Countywide Vision; create an active and vibrant Equity Element Group that would identify programs, policies, and collaborations to address the effects, impacts, and prevention of racism; and ensure membership of the Group is diverse in terms of ethnicity, age, and gender, and includes members of the Black community.

- What is the criteria standards for selecting "community partners and how would identify programs, policies, and collaborations to address effects, impacts, and prevention of racism?

Collaborating with the County's law and justice agencies and the community to ensure public confidence that public safety is administered equitably by ensuring that meaningful discussions are conducted by the Equity Element Group on identifying mechanisms for researching and addressing public concerns related to law enforcement performance within San Bernardino County; and

- "...ensure public confidence that public safety is administered equitably...." please define "equitably" in this context; further, who will set standards for "equity"?
- Who will set standards in "identifying mechanisms for researching and addressing public concerns related to law enforcement performance"?

Promoting equity through policies to be considered by the Board of Supervisors and enhancing meaningful, thoughtful, and data-driven education efforts aimed at understanding, addressing, and dismantling racism, and how racism affects public health, family stability, early education, economic development, public safety, and the delivery of human services.

- How will the county promote "equity", through policy by "Identifying specific activities to enhance diversity within the County Government workforce", without it being discriminatory as per civil rights law?

Advocating through the California State Association of Counties and the National Association of Counties for relevant policies that improve health outcomes in communities of color, and supporting local, regional, state, and federal initiatives that advance efforts to dismantle systemic racism.

- Please define the theory of "systemic" racism denoting scientific studies and "relevant policies" as per the aforementioned nonprofit groups and how they would "improve health outcome"?
- and how "supporting local, regional, state, and federal initiatives" would "advance efforts to dismantle systemic racism."

Building and strengthening alliances with other organizations that are confronting racism, and encouraging other agencies to recognize racism as a crisis, including considering County membership in the Government Alliance on Race and Equity (GARE)*, which is a national network of local government agencies working to achieve racial equity and advance opportunities for all.

In regards to the aforementioned statement:

- Please show scientific evidence that racism is an issue in San Bernardino County, California, and
- Explain how building and strengthening alliances with other organizations will help confront racism.
- Explain how encouraging other agencies to recognize racism as a crisis will benefit residents in San Bernardino County, California.

Critique of Riverside County's Resolution

Date: April 1, 2021

To: Riverside County, California via the Clerk of the Board, cob@rivco.org

From: Dan Titus

Email: FutureEarthUS@gmail.com

Subject: CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST

This is a request for records pursuant to the provisions of the California Public Records Act as amended (Cal. Gov. Code § 6250, *et seq.*).

1. We have reviewed the Resolution No. 2020-179, and request more scientific evidence to support claims made in the document. Our specific questions and comments are in bullet points referenced below.

If you decide to withhold any portion of any requested record, I ask that you provide me a list identifying what you have withheld. I also ask that you cite the specific exemption(s) being relied upon to withhold information. In addition, if you deny all or part of this request, Government Code Section 6256.2 requires that you provide the name and title or position of each person responsible for the denial of this request. Should you decide to withhold any information, Government Code Section 6256 requires that you notify me of the reasons for this determination no later than 10 days after receipt of this request. Government Code Section 6256.2 prohibits the use of the 10-day period, or any provisions of the Public Records Act, "to delay access for purposes of inspecting public records."

Given the public interest in the requested records, I ask that you exercise your discretionary authority and waive all reproduction fees associated with my request. I seek this information because it is informative of your agency's operations and activities. I have no financial interest in the requested records. I request that these records be provided to me in electronic form, that being the least expensive way for the County to respond to this request. I would appreciate the opportunity to review the records prior to pick up if electronic delivery is not an option and request a fee waiver of records. As the Public Records Act prescribes, I expect a response within the next 10 business days.

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-179

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DECLARING RACISM AND INEQUITY AS A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS

WHEREAS, the County is dedicated to improving health and wellness, eliminating health disparities and achieving health equity for all residents; and

WHEREAS, the County is deeply saddened by the tragic deaths of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Rayshard Brooks and countless Black Americans and other people of color who have been the victims of racially motivated violence; and

- How do the deaths of the aforementioned people of color “sadden the County” in respect that none of the incidents took place in Riverside County? Please show scientific evidence to support this claim.

WHEREAS, systemic racism causes persistent racial discrimination in housing, education, employment, transportation, and criminal justice and an emerging body of research demonstrates that racism is a social determinant of health and a significant barrier to achieving health equity; and

- Please show scientific evidence that the theory of systemic racism exists, and
- That it causes persistent racial discrimination, and
- that racism is a social determinant of health and a significant barrier to achieving health equity.

WHEREAS, communities of color are disproportionately impacted by the negative effects of the social determinants of health, such as increased exposure to lead, poor air quality, lack of safe places to walk, bike or run, and unequal access to safe, stable housing; and

- Please provide scientific evidence that in, Riverside County, communities of color are disproportionately impacted by the negative effects of the social determinants of health, such as increased exposure to lead, poor air quality, lack of safe places to walk, bike or run, and unequal access to safe, stable housing.
- Please define “social determinants” in context with health; define “health”.

WHEREAS, living with the threat of discrimination and violence creates toxic stress for communities of color and that continued exposure to threatening situations can have lasting negative effects on health, development, well-being and opportunity; and

- Please denote scientific evidence that living with the threat of discrimination and violence creates toxic stress for communities of color in Riverside County.
- Please define “toxic stress” in context of “communities of color” in Riverside County.
- Please provide scientific evidence that continued exposure to threatening situations can have lasting negative effects on health, development, well-being and opportunity for all races and specifically communities of color, in Riverside County.

WHEREAS, the U.S. National Institutes of Health reports that multiple studies suggest that experiences of racism or discrimination raise the risk of emotional and physical health problems, including depression, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and even death; and

- Please provide that studies of the U.S. National Institutes of Health denoting reports that *suggest* that experiences of racism or discrimination raise the risk of emotional and physical health problems, including depression, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, and even death.
- Please provide studies and scientific proof that experiences of racism or discrimination raise the risk of emotional and physical health problems, including depression, cardiovascular disease, and hypertension in Riverside County.

WHEREAS, racism can manifest in different ways ranging from violent attacks, to implicit bias, to differential access to resources and opportunities; and

Please provide scientific evidence that racism can manifest in different ways ranging from violent attacks, to implicit bias, to differential access to resources and opportunities in context to all races in Riverside County and specifically to communities of color in the County.

WHEREAS, racism operates on systemic, institutional, and interpersonal levels, all of which resonate throughout time and across generations;

- Please define the theories of systemic, structural, and institutional racism, and provide scientific evidence that these theories exist and persist in Riverside County.
- Please provide evidence that racism operates on systemic, institutional, and interpersonal levels, all of which resonate throughout time and across generations; and specifically in Riverside County.

WHEREAS, racism has resulted in the development of systems and institutions, including those within government, that perpetuate inequities through policies and practices, and

- Please provide scientific evidence that racism has resulted in the development of systems and institutions, including those within government, that perpetuate inequities through policies and practices in Riverside County.

WHEREAS, systemic racism and the resulting inequities threaten the health and well-being of Black Americans and other communities of color; and

- Please show scientific evidence that systemic racism and the resulting inequities threaten the health and well-being of Black Americans and other communities of color in Riverside County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Riverside that Riverside County, including all County departments and agencies, will:

- A) Assert that racism, with its resulting health inequities, is a public health crisis affecting our entire society; and
- Please provide evidence of your allegation that “racism, with its resulting health inequities, is a public health crisis affecting our entire society” and also with in relation to Riverside County.
- B) Work to create an inclusive, well-informed governmental organization that is conscious of injustice and unfairness through robust trainings and continuing education to expand the understanding of how racial discrimination affects individuals and communities most impacted by inequities.
- Please define “inclusive”.
 - Please define “well-informed governmental organization”.
 - Please define “injustice”.
 - Please define “unfairness”.
 - Please define “inequity”.

Training and Continuing Education

Please provide scientific evidence that “robust trainings and continuing education” will expand the understanding of how racial discrimination affects individuals and communities most impacted by inequities in Riverside County.

Inflows

- Please provide all grant applications to state, Federal, Foundations, nonprofit groups or corporations that address racism as per this resolution; including training and continuing education for County employees.

Costs

Please provide a cost benefit analysis for robust trainings and continuing education; please define “robust”.

Please provide all costs associated with “trainings and continuing education”, for 1) program development, 2) operations, 3) maintenance: including but not limited to costs associated with:

1. Task force, special commissions and/or special advisory boards

- Please provide selection criteria used to select members.
- Please provide members names and organizations they belong to, including contact information for each organization.
- Please provide all meeting agendas, notes and minutes to date.

2. County staff costs

- Please all staff costs related to training and continuing education in regards to facilitation, coordination, meeting preparation, including costs for support of staff by and outside services, including County interdepartmental support and/or outside services and consultants.

3. Consultant costs

- Please provide specifications for consultant requests for proposals.
- Please provide any executed contracts.

C) Actively seek to increase diversity across the County workforce and in leadership positions; and

Please define “diversity” in relation to inclusion. More specifically, please define diversity in relation to equality as stated in the United States Constitution

Affirmative action laws

- C) Review all policies, procedures and practices to ensure racial equity is a core element of Riverside County, and work to eliminate those policies and practices that facilitate discrimination and violence against specific populations; and

- Please define “racial equity”
- Please define “specific populations”.
- Please define “core element”.
- Please provide scientific evidence that reviewing all policies, procedures and practices will eliminate discrimination and violence against specific populations in Riverside County.
- Please provide the costs to review policies, procedures and practices

D) Prioritize the investment of time and treasure in promoting equity to address social determinants of health; and

- Please define “equity”.
- Please define “health”.
- Please define “Social determinants of health”.
- Please define how the County will prioritize “investment of time and treasure” in relation to other priorities in the County.
- Please provide analysis tools and models used to rank equity and social determinants in relation to other County priorities; Please provide all costs associated with this analysis.

E) Identify and implement solutions to eliminate systemic inequity in all external services provided by the County including, but not limited to, the following sectors: Health; Social Services; Housing; Homelessness and Workforce; Business and Community; Public Works; Land Use and Environment; Finance and Government; and Public Safety; and

Please define theory of “systemic inequality.”

Please provide all costs to date and proposed cost estimates to identify and implement solutions to eliminate systemic inequity in all external services provided by the County including, but not limited to, the following sectors: Health; Social Services; Housing; Homelessness and Workforce; Business and Community; Public Works; Land Use and Environment; Finance and Government; and Public Safety. Furthermore, please address costs:

Please provide accounting procedures that County will use for the project to determine identify and implement solutions to eliminate systemic inequity in all external services provided by the County including account numbers, including itemized costs for:

1. Task force, special commissions and/or special advisory boards

- Please provide selection criteria used to select members.
- Please provide members names and organizations they belong to, including contact information for each organization.
- Please provide all meeting agendas, notes and minutes to date.

2. County staff costs

- Please all staff costs in regards to facilitation, coordination, meeting preparation, including costs for support of staff by and outside services, including County interdepartmental support and/or outside services and consultants.

3. Consultant costs

- Please provide specifications for consultant requests for proposals.
- Please provide any executed contracts.

F) Enhance public education and messaging efforts to increase understanding and awareness around systemic inequity from a public health perspective, with special attention given to the experiences of communities of color; and

Please provide scientific evidence that enhance public education and messaging efforts will increase understanding and awareness around systemic inequity from a public health perspective, with special attention given to the experiences of communities of color.

Please provide associated costs for the marketing campaign in relation given the previous definitions provided for: systemic inequality and health. Specifically how will this campaign promote in context of “special attention given to the experiences of communities of color.

Please provide a copy of the Marketing Plan that will achieve the stated goals in section F. Please provide all costs in relationship to the marketing plan:

1. Task force, special commissions and/or special advisory boards

- Please provide selection criteria used to select members.
- Please provide members names and organizations they belong to, including contact information for each organization.
- Please provide all meeting agendas, notes and minutes to date.

2. County staff costs

- Please all staff costs in regards to facilitation, coordination, meeting preparation, including costs for support of staff by and outside services, including County interdepartmental support and/or outside services and consultants.

3. Consultant costs

- Please provide specifications for consultant requests for proposals.
- Please provide any executed contracts.

G) Implement community-based alternatives to address harms and prevent trauma; and

- Please define “community-based alternatives”
- Please define “harms” and “trauma”
- Please denote all costs associated with implementing community-based alternatives to address harms and prevent trauma:

1. Special commissions and/or special advisory boards

- Please provide selection criteria used to select members.
- Please provide members names and organizations they belong to, including contact information for each organization.
- Please provide all meeting agendas, notes and minutes to date.

2. County staff costs

- Please all staff costs in regards to facilitation, coordination, meeting preparation, including costs for support of staff by and outside services, including County interdepartmental support and/or outside services and consultants.

3. Consultant costs

- Please provide specifications for consultant requests for proposals.

Please provide any executed contracts.

I) Advocate for relevant local, state, and federal policies that improve health and wellness in communities of color, and support local, state, and federal initiatives that advance racial equity, while also encouraging individual employee advocacy; and

Please explain how “advocating relevant local, state, and federal policies that improve health and wellness in communities of color, and support local, state, and federal initiatives that advance racial equity, while also encouraging individual employee advocacy”, which is outside of the County of Riverside’s jurisdiction, would benefit the residents and citizens of Riverside County.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Board of Supervisors, through the County Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer, and the Assistant County Executive Officers, will work with the Department of Public Health, all County Departments and other community partners to assess and apply an equity lens to internal policies and practices, adopt preventive measures, support the creation of a Riverside County task force and develop initiatives and programs to fight systemic racism and implicit bias to further health, wellness and equity in all aspects of community life.

Please define the word “partner” in a business context. Please provide articles denoting the type of partnership referenced, including equity stakes and dissolution procedures.

Please define criteria used to identify “community partners”

- a. Please provide selection criteria used to select community and their organizations.
 - b. Please provide members names and organizations they belong to, including contact information for each organization.
 - c. Please provide all meeting agendas, notes and minutes to date.
-
- Please define “equity lens” and how the County will work with community partners to assess and apply it in order to adopt:
 - Please define “preventive measures”.
 - Please define purpose and what “support the creation of a Riverside County task force means.
 - Develop initiatives and programs to fight systemic racism” – please provide all development costs in regard to initiatives and programs to fight the theory of systemic racism to date.

- Please define “implicit bias as it relates to furthering health”; define health in this context.
 - Please define “wellness and equity” as it relates to all aspects of “community life”.
 - Please define “community life”.
- Please provide the Federal definition of “minority”.



Critique of the Southern California Association of Governments Resolution

Date: March 27, 2021

To: The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG)

Email: ePublicComment@scag.ca.gov

From: Dan Titus, ACSC

Email: FutureEarthUS@gmail.com

Subject: Response to SCAG Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice

Thank you for the opportunity to respond in writing to your Zoom committee meeting for Tuesday, March 30, 2021 from 3:00 p.m. – 5:00 p.m.¹

We are disappointed by the time constraints imposed to respond to the content presented by SCAG staff for this special meeting. The content was last updated on March 24th; the deadline to respond in writing is March 30, 2021, whereby we only had three business days to look at this large trove of information, let alone respond in the way we would have liked. That said, here is our truncated response:

We object to the findings of staff to be presented at a special Zoom meeting of the Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice in its entirety and more specifically, Item number 1, dated March 30, 2021, from SCAG Executive Director’ Kome Ajise, subject title: Racial Equity Early Action Plan, because the plan is predicated on two prejudicial documents (Attached)²:

1. RC Agenda Item 1, dated July 2, from SCAG Executive Director’ Kome Ajise, subject title: “Presentation on Racial and Social Justice and SCAG’s Policy Statement (Regional Discussion and Action on Equity and Social Justice” promoting the action to adopt,
2. Resolution No. 20-623-2, dated July 2, 2020

We Want Answers

There was a flurry of resolutions similar to SCAGs adopted by different jurisdictions last summer, on the heels of protests/riots, when emotions were high.

We have challenged the County of San Bernardino and the County of Riverside to produce evidence in regards to similar resolutions. To date, these counties can offer no scientific evidence to support their claims of systemic racism and that it is a “health crisis”, meaning environmental health whereby the solution is environmental justice remedies. San Bernardino in an email dated March 24, 2021 from David Wert, CAO for the county, stated, “the county does not possess records that would be responsive to your requests for scientific documentation, proof, or evidence.” This is legal precedent, because the county’s resolution echoes much of what is in SCAGs Resolution No. 20-623-2.

We have reviewed the Resolution No. 20-623-2, dated July 2, 2020, and request more scientific evidence to support claims made in the document. Our interjections are in *italics* and are offered below.

Recommendation

We contend that SCAG is exhibiting “mission creep” and has overstepped its charter and that the ad hoc committee called the “Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice” should be dissolved because the issue of racism and it’s solution, equity, have become politicized; therefore, there are agendas in play, and we do not believe that SCAG should intercede at a metropolitan level when issues, involving justice and equity, can be addressed better at a local level given the legislation that is now available for jurisdictions: For example, bills like SB 1000 regarding environmental justice.

Review of Resolution No. 20-623-2, dated July 2, 2020

**RESOLUTION NO. 20-623-2
A RESOLUTION OF THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS (SCAG) STATING
CONVICTION THAT SYSTEMIC RACISM IS A HUMAN RIGHTS
AND PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS WHICH RESULTS IN DISPARITIES IN
FAMILY STABILITY, HEALTH AND MENTAL WELLNESS, EDUCATION,
EMPLOYMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE, ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT, TRANSPORTATION, PUBLIC SAFETY, INCARCERATION
AND HOUSING AND REAFFIRMING ITS COMMITMENT TO ADVANCING
JUSTICE, EQUITY, DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION IN SOUTHERN
CALIFORNIA**

WHEREAS, SCAG is the largest metropolitan planning organization (MPO) in the United States covering six counties (Imperial, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and Ventura), and serving 19 million people pursuant to 23 USC § 134 et seq. and 49 USC § 5303 et seq. subject to the 1964 Civil Rights Act and the 1994 Presidential Executive Order No. 12898 on Environmental Justice; and

WHEREAS, SCAG is responsible for bringing Southern California’s diverse residents and local partners together with unifying regional plans, policies, and programs that result in more healthy, livable, sustainable, and economically resilient communities; and

WHEREAS, communities across Southern California and nationwide continue to show their pain, anger, and calls for action over the senseless and violent deaths of Tony McDade, Elijah McClain, Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor, and George Floyd, among others, as well as the systemic injustice in our society that led to these deaths and of too many more people of color; and

- *Please provide scientific proof that: “communities across Southern California and nationwide continue to show their pain, anger...” over “deaths”.*
- *Please provide scientific proof that show evidence that the deaths of Tony McDade, Elijah McClain, Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor, and George Floyd, are senseless and violent, and*
- *Provide proof that these deaths are a “systemic injustice in our society; and, that these specific deaths led to more deaths of more people of color.*

WHEREAS, SCAG acknowledges the historic role government and the planning profession have played in systemically driving and perpetuating societal inequities along racial lines that have resulted in vastly different living and social conditions and access to opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the compounding effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic disruption have exposed the gross inequities that exist in our society; and

- *Please provide scientific evidence to show that “the compounding effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and resulting economic disruption have exposed the gross inequities that exist in our society.”*

WHEREAS, SCAG recognizes that systemic racism resulting from the inherent biases and prejudices within social and political organizations, groups, or institutions continue to create barriers to success for people of color; and

Please define the theory of systemic racism and provide scientific evidence,

- *that systemic racism resulting from the inherent biases, and*
- *prejudices within social and political organizations, groups, or institutions*
- *continue to create barriers to success for people of color*

WHEREAS, systemic racism negatively impacts the social determinants of health, such as socioeconomic status, education, neighborhood and physical environment, employment, and social support networks, as well as access to health care; and

- *Please provide scientific evidence to support the claim that the theory of systemic racism “negatively impacts the social determinants of health, such as socioeconomic status, education, neighborhood and physical environment, employment, and social support networks, as well as access to health care.”*

WHEREAS, SCAG seeks to lead and/or join efforts to reverse the effects of the inequitable policies, processes, and practices of the past, and recognizes that it has a role to play in understanding and communicating the impacts that planning decisions have on low income families, communities of color and other disadvantaged communities.

- *Please provide scientific evidence of inequitable policies, processes, and practices of the past and*
- *Explain why SCAG finds it necessary to lead and/or join efforts to reverse the effects of these policies.*

- *There are laws on the books for cities and counties to deal with social justice/ equity. SCAG in asserting itself into the narrative and acting to play a preordained leadership role in “understanding and communicating the impacts that planning decisions have on low income families, communities of color and other disadvantaged communities.”*

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments, that;

1. SCAG stands in solidarity with those working toward a fair and just society, and with those calling for systemic change to eliminate all barriers that reduce opportunity and undermine Southern California’s shared values and ability to thrive; and SCAG affirms its commitment to meaningfully advance justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion; and SCAG declares its intent to end racial and social disparities internal to the agency, strengthen the way it engages and convenes to protect and expand community voice and power, and work in partnership with others to close the gap of racial injustice and better serve our communities of color, and in so doing, serve all the people of the region.

- *SCAG stands in solidarity with those working toward a fair and just society,*
- *and with those calling for systemic change to eliminate all barriers that reduce opportunity...*
- *Please define “solidarity” and a “fair and just society” within the context of the United States Constitution and the Civil Rights Act of 1964.*
- *Please define and show scientific evidence of “California’s shared values and ability to thrive”*
- *Please define “justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion” within the context of the United States Constitution*
- *Please show scientific evidence that there are racial and social disparities internal to the agency (SCAG).*
- *Please explain why SCAG seeks to “interject itself into politics to “expand community voice and power” Define: “voice”; define “Power”.*
- *SCAG seeks to “... Work with groups aligned with racial injustice and therefore, “better serve our communities of color”” therefore,*

- *According to SCAG, white people can't be defined as a minority; even if there are less white people by number in a jurisdiction. Therefore, we see SCAG's statement to "serve all the people of the region" as seen through the lens of the Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice, as an oxymoron.*

2. Furthermore, based upon this affirmation, an ad hoc Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice shall be established by the President to further develop SCAG's response to advancing social justice throughout the agency's activities, and advise the Regional Council on policies and practices to advance its resolved intentions. Regular reports shall be provided to the Regional Council on the work of the committee and a final set of recommendations shall be prepared, including any recommendations to amend SCAG's bylaws within 9 months, for consideration by the Regional Council and General Assembly in May 2021.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED by the Regional Council of the Southern California Association of Governments at its regular meeting this 2nd day of July, 2020.

Rex A. Richardson
President, SCAG
Councilmember, City of Long Beach

Attested by:

Kome Ajise
Executive Director

Approved as to Form:
Justine Block
Acting Chief Counsel

Citations

1. Information on SCAG Special Committee on Equity and Social Justice: URL <https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/scesj033021fullagn.pdf?1616728250>
2. SCAG: Policy statement on Systemic Racism and Resolution & No. 20-623-2

CDC Declares Racism a Serious Public Health Threat

This declaration is provided here as a comparative to other local resolutions deeming racism as a public health crisis. Note the same critical race theory rhetoric used.³⁵

Media Statement from CDC Director Rochelle P. Walensky, MD, MPH, on Racism and Health

Media Statement

For Immediate Release: Thursday, April 8, 2021

Contact: Media Relations

(404) 639-3286

Today, Rochelle P. Walensky MD, MPH, director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and administrator of the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), declared racism a serious public health threat. Adding action to words, she highlighted several new efforts CDC is leading to accelerate its work to address racism as a fundamental driver of racial and ethnic health inequities in the United States. She also unveiled a new website “Racism and Health”³⁶ that will serve as a hub for the agency’s efforts and a catalyst for greater education and dialogue around these critical issues.

Statement from Rochelle P. Walensky, MD, MPH, Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in the death of over 500,000 Americans. Tens of millions have been infected. And across this country people are suffering. Importantly, these painful experiences and the impact of COVID-19 are felt, most severely, in communities of color—communities that have experienced disproportionate case counts and deaths, and where the social impact of the pandemic has been most extreme.

Yet, the disparities seen over the past year were not a result of COVID-19. Instead, the pandemic illuminated inequities that have existed for generations and revealed for all of America a known, but often unaddressed, epidemic impacting public health: racism.

What we know is this: racism is a serious public health threat that directly affects the well-being of millions of Americans. As a result, it affects the health of our entire nation. Racism is not just the discrimination against one group based on the color of their skin or their race or ethnicity, but the structural barriers that impact racial and ethnic groups differently to influence where a person lives, where they work, where their children play, and where they worship and gather in community. These social determinants of health

have life-long negative effects on the mental and physical health of individuals in communities of color.

Over generations, these structural inequities have resulted in stark racial and ethnic health disparities that are severe, far-reaching and unacceptable.

As the nation's leading public health agency, CDC has a critical role to play to address the impact of racism on public health.

We will continue to study the impact of social determinants on health outcomes, expand the body of evidence on how racism affects health, and propose and implement solutions to address this.

With COVID-19 funding, we are making new and expanded investments in racial and ethnic minority communities and other disproportionately affected communities around the country, establishing a durable infrastructure that will provide the foundation and resources to address disparities related to COVID-19 and other health conditions. We are expanding our internal agency efforts to foster greater diversity and create an inclusive and affirming environment for all.

We are launching our new web portal "Racism and Health" as part of our ongoing commitment to serve as a catalyst for public and scientific discourse around racism and health, and to be accountable for our progress.

Confronting the impact of racism will not be easy. I know that we can meet this challenge. I know that we can create an America where all people have the opportunity to live a healthy life when we each take responsibility and work together. I am committed to this work. I certainly hope you will lean in and join me.

References

- 1 American Public Health Association (APHA) - “Communities recognizing racism as a public health crisis: Declarations grow”, Kim Krisberg
<https://www.thenationshealth.org/content/50/10/1.2>
- 2 - Is San Bernardino County’s Countywide Vision Racist?
<http://iagenda21.com/is-san-bernardino-countys-countywide-vision-racist/>
- 3 Salud-America Web site: Action pack to declare racism a public health crisis
<https://salud-america.org/declare-racism-a-public-health-crisis/>
- 4 Video - Socialism in San Bernardino, California: Installing Racism Through The Green New Deal
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CZ-gTQwFRHE&t=6s>
- 5 Epoch Times: SCAG Drafts Equity Plan for “Communities of Concern”
https://www.theepochtimes.com/scag-drafts-equity-plan-for-communities-of-concern_3762499.html
- 6 United Nations Agenda 21 for Sustainable Development
<https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/outcomedocuments/agenda21>
- 7 The United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
<https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
- 8 Killing U.S., Boosting China, to Save Climate
<https://thenewamerican.com/un-paris-climate-deal-is-to-boost-china-kill-us/>
- 9 The U.N. 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: A Critique
- 10 COVID-19: The Great Reset
https://www.amazon.com/COVID-19-Great-Reset-Klaus-Schwab/dp/2940631123/ref=sr_1_1?dchild=1&keywords=covid-19+the+great+reset&qid=1618014350&sr=8-1
- 11 The World Economic Forum: The Great Reset Web site
<https://www.weforum.org/great-reset>
- 12 U.N. Agenda 21: Sustainable Development in California
https://www.amazon.com/U-N-Agenda-Sustainable-Development-California/dp/158291124X/ref=sr_1_1?dchild=1&keywords=Agenda+21%3A+Sustainable+Development+in+California&qid=1618201217&sr=8-1
- 13 California General Plan Guidelines for Environmental Justice Element
https://opr.ca.gov/docs/20200706-GPG_Chapter_4_EJ.pdf
- 14 Report - Critical Race Theory Would Not Solve Racial Inequality: It Would Deepen It
https://www.heritage.org/sites/default/files/2021-03/BG3597_0.pdf
- 15 FCC mandates & Loss of Local Control

- AB-537 - would force city and county governments to follow the FCC mandates for "time periods and procedures" with regard to rapid deployment of wireless transmission facilities. It also removes Wireless Technology from municipal control, claiming that instead it is "a matter of statewide concern - Regionalization.
- SB 556 - would give Telecom uncontrolled access to any street light, traffic signal, utility pole or support structure. It would remove local control on the placement of small cells; It reduces property values; It mandates restrictions on fees local governments can charge for access to their infrastructure. (This was vetoed by Governor Jerry Brown in 2017.)

16 Video Series – “Who Is Bill Gates” by James Corbett of the Corbett Report

<https://www.corbettreport.com/gates/>

17 Technocracy Rising, The Trojan Horse of Global Transformation”, by Patrick Wood

<https://www.technocracy.news/product/technocracy-rising-the-trojan-horse-of-global-transformation/>

18 Sustainable: The WAR on Free Enterprise, Private Property and Individuals

<https://americanpolicy.org/store/>

19 EPA - Equitable Development and Environmental Justice

<https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/equitable-development-and-environmental-justice>

20 EPA definition of environmental justice

<https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice>

21 CDC definition of environmental justice

<https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/tracking/topics/EnvironmentalJustice.htm>

22 CDC – definition of health equity.

<https://www.cdc.gov/healthequity/features/reach-health-equity/index.html>

23 \$5 million coming for Black-led organizations in the Inland Empire with new initiative

<https://www.sbsun.com/2020/07/14/5-million-coming-for-black-led-organizations-in-the-inland-empire-with-new-initiative/>

24 Center Against Racism and Trauma (CART)

<https://www.destroyracism.org/services>

25 Sustainability: Higher Education's New Fundamentalism

https://www.nas.org/blogs/article/sustainability_higher_educations_new_fundamentalism1

26 UC Riverside launching B.S. in sustainability studies

<https://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/uc-riverside-launching-bs-sustainability-studies>

27 Sustainability Studies Major

<https://genderandsexualitystudies.ucr.edu/sustainability-studies-major>

28 Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

<https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>

29 The Chain of Environmental Command

<http://iagenda21.com/the-chain-of-environmental-command/>

30 Critical Thinking on Climate Change: Empirical Evidence to Consider Before Taking Regulatory Action and Implementing Economic Projects

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/1582911290/?tag=iagenda21.com-20>

PDF http://iagenda21.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/CriticalThinkingonClimateReport_FINAL.pdf

31 U.N. Agenda 21 & Climate Change: The Consensus Exposed

<https://www.amazon.com/dp/1582911207/?tag=iagenda21.com-20>

PDF http://iagenda21.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/Senate_Minrity_Report_Consensus_Exposed.pdf

32 Plundered: How Progressive Ideology is Destroying America

https://www.amazon.com/Plundered-Progressive-Ideology-Destroying-America/dp/0615630774/ref=sr_1_2?dchild=1&keywords=Plundered%3A+How+Progressive+Ideology+is+Destroying+America&qid=1618267482&sr=8-2

33 Behind the Green Mask: U.N. Agenda 21

https://www.amazon.com/Behind-Green-Mask-U-N-Agenda/dp/0615494544/ref=sr_1_1?crd=5IF87MXA30JW&dchild=1&keywords=behind+the+green+mask+un+agenda+21+rosa+koire&qid=1618267271&srefix=Behind+the+Green+Mas%2Caps%2C228&sr=8-1

34 Rancho Cucamonga (RC), California, Receives “Tainted” All-America City Award

<http://iagenda21.com/rancho-cucamonga-california-receives-tainted-all-america-city-award/>

RC Sustainability programs <http://iagenda21.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Rancho-Cucamonga-Sustainable-Development-Climate-Change-Programs.pdf>

35 Biden’s CDC Director Officially Declares Racism a “Serious Public Health Threat”

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2021/04/bidens-cdc-director-declares-racism-serious-public-health-threat/>

35 The U.N. Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development – Exposed

<https://youtu.be/1izVLnqOm4A>

36 CDC: Racism and Health Website

<https://www.cdc.gov/healthequity/racism-disparities/index.html>